Preliminary in-hospital experience with a fully automatic external cardioverter-defibrillator
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Abstract

Background: Ventricular fibrillation (VF) and ventricular tachycardia (VT) are frequently present as initial rhythms during in-hospital cardiac arrest. Although ample evidence exists to support the need for rapid defibrillation, the response to in-hospital cardiac arrest remains without major advances in recent years. The delay between the arrhythmic event and intervention is still a challenge for clinical practice.

Objective: To analyze the performance and safety of in-hospital use of a programmable, fully automatic external cardioverter-defibrillator (AECD).

Methods: We conducted a prospective study at the Emergency Department of a university hospital. A total of 55 patients considered to be at risk of sustained VT/VF were included. Patients underwent monitoring of their cardiac rhythm by the AECD. Upon detection of a ventricular tachyarrhythmia, the AECD was programmed to automatically deliver shock therapy.

Results: We recorded 19 episodes of VT/VF in 3 patients. The median time between the beginning of the arrhythmia and the first defibrillation was 33.4 s (21–65 s). One episode of spontaneous reversion of VT was documented 20 s after its origin and shock therapy was aborted. The defibrillation success was 94.4% (17/18) for the first shock and 100% (1/1) for the second shock. No case of inappropriate shock discharge was registered during the study period.

Conclusion: The AECD has the feasibility to combine long-term monitoring with automatic defibrillation safely and effectively. It presents the possibility of providing rapid identification of, and response to, in-hospital ventricular tachyarrhythmias.

© 2004 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.
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Resumo

Introdução: A fibrilhação ventricular (VF) e a taquicardia ventricular (VT) estão frequentemente presentes como ritmos iniciais durante o paragem cardíaca intra-hospitalar. Embora exista ampla evidência suportando a necessidade de desfibrilação rápida, a resposta à paragem cardíaca intra-hospitalar continua sem grandes avanços em anos recentes. O atraso entre o evento arrítmico e a intervenção continua a ser um desafio para a prática clínica. Objetivo: Avaliar o desempenho e segurança da utilização intra-hospitalar de um desfibrilador-cardioversor externo totalmente automático (AECD). Métodos: Conduzimos um estudo prospectivo no Departamento de Emergência de um hospital universitário. Foram incluídos 55 doentes considerados em risco de VT/VF sintetizado. Os doentes tiveram monitorização do seu ritmo cardíaco pelo AECD. O AECD foi programado para administrar automaticamente choque terapêutico quando detectasse uma taquiarritmia ventricular. Resultados: Registávamos 19 episódios de VT/VF em 3 doentes. O tempo médio entre o início da arritmia e a primeira desfibrilação foi de 33,4 s (21–65 s). Foi documentado um episódio de reversão espontânea de VT 20 s após o início e o choque terapêutico foi abortado. O sucesso da desfibrilação foi de 94,4% (17/18) para o primeiro choque e 100% (1/1) para o segundo choque. Não foi registado nenhum caso de choque inapropriado durante o período do estudo. Conclusão: O AECD tem a capacidade de combinar de forma segura e eficaz a
La fibrilación ventricular (VF) y la taquicardia ventricular (VT) están frecuentemente presentes como ritmos iniciales durante el paro cardíaco intrahospitalario. Aunque existe amplia evidencia para apoyar la necesidad de la desfibrilación rápida, la respuesta al paro intrahospitalario sigue sin mayores avances en los últimos años. El retraso entre el evento arrítmico y la intervención es todavía un desafío para la práctica clínica. Objetivo: Analizar el desempeño y seguridad del uso intrahospitalario de un desfibrilador-cardioversor externo programable, completamente automático (AECD).

Métodos: Condujimos un estudio prospectivo en el departamento de emergencias de un hospital universitario. Se incluyeron 55 pacientes considerados en riesgo de fibrilación ventricular. Los pacientes fueron sometidos a monitorización de su ritmo cardíaco por el AECD. Al detectar una taquiarritmia ventricular, el AECD fue programado para entregar automáticamente terapia eléctrica.

Resultados: Registramos 19 episodios de VT/VF en 3 pacientes. La mediana de tiempo entre el comienzo de la arritmia y la primera desfibrilación fue 33.4s (21–65s). Se documentó un episodio de reversión espontánea de VT a los 20s después de su origen y la terapia eléctrica fue abortada. El éxito de la desfibrilación fue de 94.4% (17/18) para la primera descarga y de 100% (1/1) para la segunda descarga. No se registró caso alguno de descarga inapropiada durante el período de estudio.

Conclusión: El AECD tiene la factibilidad de combinar monitorización de largo plazo con desfibrilación automática segura y efectiva. Presenta la posibilidad de proporcionar rápida identificación y respuesta a taquiarritmias intrahospitalarias.

© 2004 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

In-hospital cardiac arrest due to ventricular malignant arrhythmias such as ventricular fibrillation and sustained ventricular tachycardia, is a major cause of morbidity and mortality [1–3].

It has long been recognized that survival from ventricular fibrillation/ventricular tachycardia (VF/VT) is dependent on prompt defibrillation and restoration of a functional rhythm [4-6]. It has been repeatedly observed that survival is inversely proportional to the duration of the potentially fatal arrhythmia [7] as a result of several factors: (1) VF is a frequent rhythm at the start of resuscitation maneuvers; (2) electrical defibrillation is the most effective treatment for VF/VT; (3) the probability of successful defibrillation diminishes rapidly over time; (4) VF/VT tends to evolve to asystole within minutes [8]. A survival rate as high as 90% has been reported when defibrillation is achieved within the first minute of collapse [9,10]. Survival rates after VF/VT cardiac arrest decrease approximately 7-10% with every minute that defibrillation is delayed. When defibrillation is delayed, survival rates decrease to approximately 50% at 5 min, approximately 30% at 7 min, approximately 10% at 9-11 min, and approximately 2-5% beyond 12 min [8–10].

Although ample evidence exists to support the need for rapid defibrillation and the documented benefits of out-of-hospital use of automated external defibrillators (AED) [11-13], the response to in-hospital cardiac arrest remains without major advances in the last few years. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to analyze the performance and safety of a full in-hospital automatic external cardioverter-defibrillator (AECD) prospectively.
external defibrillating patch electrodes. This results in three monitoring channels that can be viewed in real time. The operator programs the channel that will be used for rhythm monitoring.

Shock therapy is programmable from 5 to 360 J, using a monomorphic damped sinusoidal waveform of fixed duration. Up to 8 sequential shocks can be delivered per tachycardia episode with a programmable delay varying from 10 to 600 s for each therapy. Shock therapy is noncommitted so that if the rhythm spontaneously converts, therapy will be automatically aborted.

The device can be programmed in three operational modes: automatic, advisory or manual. Upon detection of a ventricular tachyarrhythmia, the AECD can provide treatment by automatically delivering cardioversion and/or defibrillation energy in seconds (automatic mode). The AECD can also operate in advisory mode, whereby the device charges the capacitor when a ventricular tachyarrhythmia is detected and prompts the operator to press both shock delivery buttons. The manual mode allows the operator to select the energy, charge the capacitor and deliver the therapy when needed.

Tachyarrhythmias were detected primarily using a programmable rate criterion. Furthermore, for better discrimination of supraventricular versus ventricular rhythms, a modulation domain function, which combines frequency and amplitude content of the signal, was available at the physician’s discretion. Each time a ventricular tachyarrhythmia is detected, the device immediately and automatically prints an ECG strip of the episode.

2.3. Methods

In addition to the standard care by the institution, patients undergoing monitoring of their cardiac rhythm by the AECD using self-adhesive electrodes placed in a conventional base-to-apex configuration after cleaning or shaving the application sites. These defibrillation pads had a surface area of 100 cm². The channel with the largest QRS complexes, smallest T wave amplitude, and least artifact was selected as the detection channel.

The monitoring period could be shortened or extended at the investigators’ discretion.

In the present study, the automatic mode was selected for rhythm monitoring, and the shock therapy was programmed according to the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) recommended approach [14]. The first shock therapy was programmed to be discharged 20 s after the detection of the tachycardia with a selected energy of 300 J. If the arrhythmia persisted after the first shock, the AECD was programmed to deliver another shock with 300 J after a period of 10 s. In case of failure of reversion after the second shock, a third shock of 360 J was delivered after 10 s. Only these three shocks were programmed, and if the arrhythmia persisted, the device was switched to the manual mode and shock therapy would be selected by the medical staff. Drug therapy and others cardiopulmonary resuscitation maneuvers were based on the ILCOR guidelines [14].

3. Results

A total of 55 patients were included in the study and a total of 1293 h of monitoring were performed. The most frequent diagnosis was ACS. Almost half (n = 27, 49.1%) of the patients had ACS without ST elevation. In this group, only one patient had a malignant ventricular arrhythmia however and this patient was monitored with the AECD only after the event had happened.

The second most common diagnosis was ACS with ST elevation (n = 14, 25.5%). In this group of patients there was one case of VT on admission that was cardioverted with the use of a manual defibrillator. There were no VF/VT events during the AECD monitoring period.

A total of eight patients were included due to previous VF/VT during their stay at emergency department. Two of them sustained a recurrence of the arrhythmia. One patient presented with 10 episodes of ventricular fibrillation, 9 were successfully defibrillated with the first shock therapy and a second discharge was needed only once. This patient was diagnosed as having dilated cardiomyopathy and required intravenous inotropic agents. Another patient had seven episodes of unstable ventricular tachycardia with loss of consciousness, all successfully defibrillated with the first shock (Fig. 1). Both patients were discharged neurologically intact.

Three patients were included due to cardiogenic shock. One patient, with a previous diagnosis of Chagas cardiomyopathy, suffered two episodes of ventricular tachycardia. One episode spontaneously reverted before shock therapy and the device automatically aborted the discharge (Fig. 2). Another episode of VT was reverted with the first shock therapy.

Other causes for patient inclusion were non-sustained ventricular arrhythmia (two cases) and digital intoxication associated with non-sustained ventricular arrhythmia (one case).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACS—acute coronary syndrome</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VF/VT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiogenic shock</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACS—acute coronary syndrome; VF/VT—ventricular fibrillation/ventricular tachycardia
A total of 19 episodes of VF/VT were detected in 3 patients. In 17 occasions the device converted the arrhythmia with the first shock using a 200 J monophasic discharge. The interval varied from 21 to 65 s, with an average of 33.4 s. In one episode of fine VF, the device had a delay in recognizing the rhythm and the first shock was successfully applied 65 s after the beginning of the event.

Only once was a second discharge required, it occurred 25 s after the first discharge and 70 s after the beginning of the arrhythmia. One episode of spontaneous reversion of VT was documented 20 s after its origin and shock therapy was aborted. Successful shock therapy occurred in 94.4% (17/18) for the first defibrillation and 100% (1/1) for the second defibrillation.
We did not register any case of inappropriate discharge during the study period.

4. Discussion

Termination of VF is accomplished relatively easily if its duration is short. The high success rate of first shock therapy with ICDs, or external shocks when immediately available, is widely appreciated [9,10,15]. Defibrillation threshold increases rapidly with duration [16], and studies have shown that survival is improved if the first shock is successful and delivered in a timely fashion [17].

The great frequency of cardiac arrest in the out-of-hospital environment has led to the creation of a device capable of automatically delivering or advising electrical discharges. The out-of-hospital use of AEDs increases the range of personnel who can use a defibrillator, shortening the time between collapse and defibrillation [8]. In last few years, several studies documented the safety and efficacy of the AEDs during out-of-hospital cardiac arrest [11–13,18].

The use of AEDs in early defibrillation programs has been associated with a significant increase in survival rates in a variety of settings, including casinos, airport terminals and commercial aircraft [19–23].

As stated in all major guidelines, early defibrillation is a high-priority goal in both out-of-hospital and in-hospital cardiac arrest [8]. In the in-hospital environment, despite continuous rhythm monitoring and presence of highly trained staff, the response time to ventricular fibrillation has been disappointing. In this setting, using the conventional method of manual defibrillation the often cited interval between the beginning of the arrhythmia and the first shock is about 60 s in monitored areas and 300 s in non-monitored wards [24].

The recognition of time to first defibrillation as a modifiable factor in in-hospital survival has led to efforts to increase use of AEDs and emphasize rapid defibrillation over CPR [8,25].

Only two clinical studies have evaluated this technology [26,27]. Both studies used Holter system for review and confirmation of the patient’s rhythms. Each episode documented with the Holter tapes was analyzed and classified as true positive, true negative, false positive or false negative on the basis of the programmed parameters and the device response to the tachyarrhythmia (review of stored data and ECG strips). Both studies showed a highly effective performance and safety of the device, sensitivity was 100% and specificity was about 96%. The average response time was 22.5 s in the first and 15 s in the second study. The present study has a longer response time because we programmed the device to shock 20 s after the arrhythmia recognition. We used this approach based on the fact that some of the malignant arrhythmias may convert spontaneously, a fact that occurred once in this study, preventing an unnecessary shock therapy. Moreover, the response time of the present study (33.4 s) is still better than the normal for monitored areas (about 60 s) [24].

For any fully automatic defibrillator used in long-term monitoring application, a highly specific response is fundamental. In this setting, the AECD is attached to conscious patients without any restrictions on movement; therefore, the ability to provide artifact immunity is essential. The AECD was specifically designed to be highly resistant to artifact through its unique structure and properties, allowing full patient mobility within the limits of the 15 feet (approx. 5 m) cable length. In previous studies [26–27], despite a combined monitoring period of hundreds of hours, there were no episodes of shock delivery or advice in response to artefact. The present study did not register any episodes of inappropriate therapy or adverse events. It is important to note that in our study, those patients in the emergency department had no restrictions on movement beyond those normally incurred in their course of treatment. In spite of this freedom, artefact and motion resulted in no false events.

In wards, cardiac arrest is associated with a very high morbidity and mortality [24], reflecting a lack of human resources. Thus, very rapid interventions with AECD could reduce the impact on the clinical outcome associated with cardiac arrest. To place an AECD on every patient would require an enormous number of these devices. However, it is possible to select a specific number of high-risk patients that can be monitored by an AECD. High-risk patients without haemodynamic compromise, such as patients admitted for ICD implantation or waiting for heart transplantation may benefit from this new technology.

5. Conclusion

The AECD offers the opportunity to combine long-term monitoring with automatic defibrillation safely and effectively. It presents the possibility of providing consistently rapid identification and response to in-hospital ventricular tachyarrhythmias. It is likely that the use of this technology has the potential to improve the response time to these life-threatening arrhythmias.
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